



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: 400 ...
...
...

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09 631,638	08 02 2000	Kevin M. Moore	1533 0980001 SRI PAT	8662

2611 7590 02 25 2003

STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX PLLC
1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W., SUITE 600
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3934

EXAMINER

NAFF, DAVID M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2651

DATE MAILED 02 25 2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

09/23/14, 438 6/2/00

1/1/00

1533, 098001/524/KYP

1/1/00

1651

17

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

☒ THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE

- a) ☒ is extended to run 5 months or continues to run from the date of the final rejection
- b) ☐ expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.

Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.

- ☐ Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192, a)

☒ Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed 1/24/03 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance.

- 1) ☒ The proposed amendments to the claim and/or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
- a) ☐ There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116 b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.
 - b) ☒ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note)
 - c) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note)
 - d) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
 - e) ☐ They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: Drying without prior removal of insolubles is an embodiment not previously claimed and raises new issues for consideration over prior art.

- 2) ☐ Newly proposed or amended claims, 1-7 would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non allowable claims.
- 3) ☒ Upon the filing of an appeal, the proposed amendment ☐ will be entered. ☒ will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:

Claims allowed None

Claims objected to 1-7 + 9-31

Claims rejected 1-7 + 9-31

However:

- ☐ Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):

- 4) ☒ The ~~affidavit~~ request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because reasons previously set forth in the advisory action of 12/23/02.
- 5) ☐ The affidavit for exhibit A will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficient reasons why it was not earlier presented.

- 6) ☐ The proposed drawing correction has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner.
- ☐ Other:

DAVID M. NAFF
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 1857